

## **Rule(s) Review Checklist Addendum** (This form must be filled out electronically.)

This form is to be used only if the rule(s) was/were previously reviewed, and has/have not been amended/repealed subsequent to that review.

All responses should be in **bold** format.

Document Reviewed (include title):

WAC 458-19-035 "One hundred six percent levy limit – Annexation (RCW 84.55.030 and 84.55.110)"

Date last reviewed: September 1998

Current Reviewer: Kim M. Qually

Date current review completed: December 24, 2001

Is this document being reviewed at this time because of a taxpayer or association request?

YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$ 

## 1. Briefly describe the subject matter of the rule(s):

This rule explains how the first regular levy of a taxing district is calculated after the district annexes other territory or a dissimilar taxing district. It also describes how the levy limit is calculated when a taxing district loses a portion of its territory as a result of an annexation to another taxing district. Both sections of the rule reference the 106 % levy limit that is no longer the correct levy limit.

## 2. Related statutes, interpretive statements, court decisions, BTA decisions, and WTDs:

YES	NO			
X		Are there any statutory changes subsequent to the previous review of this rule		
		that should be incorporated?		
	X	Are there any interpretive statements not identified in the previous review of		
		this rule that should be incorporated?		
	X	Are there any interpretive statements that should be repealed because the		
		information is currently included in this or another rule, or the information is		
		incorrect or not needed?		
	X Are there any Board of Tax Appeal (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or			
		Attorney Generals Opinions (AGOs) subsequent to the previous review of this		
		rule that provide information that should be incorporated into this rule?		



X	Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions	
	(WTDs)) subsequent to the previous review of this rule that provide	
	information that should be incorporated into the rule?	
X Are there any changes to the recommendations in the previous review o		
	rule with respect to any of the types of documents noted above?	

If the answer is "yes" to any of the questions above, identify the pertinent document(s) and provide a brief summary of the information that should be incorporated into the document.

The passage of Referendum 47 (1997) and Initiative 747 (2001) changed the levy limit to 101% or the rate of inflation, whichever is less. The rule (as well as the example therein) need to be changed to reflect this statutory change.

**3. Additional information:** Identify any additional issues (other than that noted above or in the previous review) that should be addressed or incorporated into the rule. Note here if you believe the rule can be rewritten and reorganized in a more clear and concise manner. **None** 

## 4. Listing of documents reviewed:

Statute(s) Implemented:

RCW 84.55.030 "Limitation upon first levy following annexation;" RCW 84.55.110 "Withdrawal of certain areas of a library district, metropolitan park district, first protection district, or public hospital district – Calculation of taxes due;" and

Initiative 747 (2001).

Interpretive statements (e.g., ETAs and PTAs):				
Court Decisions:	None			
Board of Tax Appeals Decision	ions (BTAs):		None	
Administrative Decisions (e.g	g., WTDs):	None		
Attorney General's Opinions	None			
Other Documents:	None			



5. Review I	Recommendation:
<u>X</u>	Amend
	<b>Repeal</b> (Appropriate when repeal is not conditioned upon another rule-making action.)
	<b>Leave as is</b> (Appropriate even if the recommendation is to incorporate the current information into another rule.)
41	Begin the rule-making process for possible revision. (Applies only when
the	Department has received a petition to revise a rule.)
the same as or differs from the If recommendation of the Incorporate Consolidate Address is	of recommendation: Provide a brief summary of your recommendation, whether different from the original review of the document(s). If this recommendation at of the previous review, explain the basis for this difference.  Ing that the rule be amended, be sure to note whether the basis for the on is to: accurate tax-reporting information now found in the current rule; e legislation; e information now available in other documents; or sues not otherwise addressed in other documents.  The recommendation, whether difference is the current rule; elegislation; e information now available in other documents; or sues not otherwise addressed in other documents.
_	ar levies, 101%. The example also needs to be corrected using the evy limit.
6. Manager	action: Date:
Review	wed and accepted recommendation
Amendment pr	iority: